UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,832	06/05/2006	Matthieu Richard	3741	7186
Striker Striker &	7590 12/02/201 Stenby	EXAMINER		
103 East Neck l	Road	LEGASSE JR, FRANCIS M		
Huntington, NY	11/43		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Supplemental Notice of Allowability

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/581,832	RICHARD, MATTHIEU
Examiner	Art Unit
FRANCIS M. LEGASSE JR	2878

	FRANCIS M. LEGASSE JR	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport of the communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to 20 September 2010.			
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1,3,4,6 and 8-12</u> .			
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT for the depose attached Examiner's co	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply ENT of this application. tted. Note the attached EXAMINER as reason(s) why the oath or declara t be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- can Amendment / Comment or in the Can the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(can the bit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL re	national stage applicational stage application of the front (not the d).	quirements IOTICE OF
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 19 November 2010 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material /Thanh X Luu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2878	5. ☐ Notice of Informal P 6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. ☑ Examiner's Amendr 8. ☑ Examiner's Stateme 9. ☐ Other	(PTO-413), e nent/Comment	owance

SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS

FOR ALLOWANCE

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 20 September 2010, with respect to

claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 8-12 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC

102(b) and 35 USC 103(a) rejections of claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 8-12 have been

withdrawn.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes

and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided

by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be

submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview

with Attorney I. Zborovsky on 30 September 2010.

The application has been amended as follows:

PLEASE AMEND TO CLAIM 1

CLAIM 1 An intrusion detector including a sensor arrangement for detecting a

liquid (C) applied on an exposed surface (100, 120, 160, 170) to render the intrusion

detector inoperable, the sensor arrangement comprising:

at least one transparent elevation (12, 22, 32, 42, 52, 62, 72) formed on the

exposed surface (100, 120, 160, 170), wherein the transparent elevation (12, 22, 32, 42,

52, 62, 72) is made of a first transparent material (B), wherein at least one first facet

Art Unit: 2878

(110, 111, 171, 172, 181) of the transparent elevation (12, 22, 32, 42, 52, 62, 72) defines a first angle (α, β) with the exposed surface (100, 120, 160, 170), and wherein the first angle (α, β) is larger than an angle at which a total reflection occurs at an interface of the first transparent material (B) and air (A) and is smaller than an angle at which a total reflection occurs at an interface of the first transparent material (B) and the liquid (C) applied on the exposed surface to render the intrusion detector inoperable;

at least one second elevation (12, 22, 32, 42, 52, 62, 72; 79) having a second facet (179) formed adjacent to the first facet (110, 111,171, 172, 181) of the transparent elevation (12, 22, 32, 42, 52, 62, 72), wherein the second facet(179) defines a second angle with the exposed surface (100, 120, 160, 170), which second angle is larger than 75° in order to enhance capillarity effects of the liquid (C) applied on the exposed surface to render the intrusion detector inoperable;

a light source (13, 23, 33, 43, 53, 63, 73) arranged for emitting an incident ray (r) into a first direction such that the incident ray (r) passes through the exposed surface (100, 120, 160, 170) into one of the transparent elevation (12, 22, 32, 42, 52, 62, 72) and the second elevation (12, 22, 32, 42, 52, 62, 72; 79), such that in a presence of the liquid (C) at one of the first facet (110, 111, 171, 172) and the second facet (179), the incident ray is transmitted through the first facet (110, 111, 171, 172) or the second facet (179), wherein in an absence of the liquid (C), the incident ray is reflected due to a total reflection at the first facet (110, 111, 171, 172) or the second facet (179); and

a light detector (14, 24, 34, 44, 54, 64, 74) for detecting the reflected incident ray (r') at one of the first facet and the second facet and to recognize that the liquid (C) is applied to the exposed surface to render the intrusion detector inoperable.

PLEASE AMEND TO CLAIM 10

Claim 10 The sensor arrangement according to claim [[5]]1 characterized in that the second elevations are provided with a top facet being substantially parallel to the surface or regions such that a ray emitted via a signalizing optical light source passes the elevation at the top facet.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 8-12 are allowed over the prior art of record.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 1, the prior art of record fails to teach alone or in combination an intrusion detector including a sensor arrangement for detecting a liquid (C) applied on an exposed surface (100, 120, 160, 170) to render the intrusion detector inoperable, the sensor arrangement comprising, along with the other claimed features, at least one second elevation (12, 22, 32, 42, 52, 62, 72; 79) having a second facet (179) formed adjacent to the first facet (110, 111,171, 172, 181) of the transparent elevation (12, 22, 32, 42, 52, 62, 72), wherein the second facet (179) defines a second angle with the exposed surface (100, 120, 160, 170), which second angle is larger than 75° in order to enhance capillarity effects of the liquid (C) applied on the exposed surface to render the intrusion detector inoperable a light detector (14, 24, 34, 44, 54, 64, 74) for detecting the reflected incident ray (r') at one of the first facet and the second facet to recognize that

inoperable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANCIS M. LEGASSE JR whose telephone number is (571)272-9798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 9:00 am to 7:30 pm E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 10/581,832 Page 6

Art Unit: 2878

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Francis M LeGasse Jr/ Examiner, Art Unit 2878 /Thanh X Luu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2878